

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,732	03/29/2004	Kevin Girard Conwell	13814	1432
75	90 03/21/2005		EXAM	INER
ORUM & ROTH		NGUYEN, CAMTU TRAN		
53 W. JACKSC CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			3743	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,732	CONWELL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .	
	Camtu T. Nguyen	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communicatio NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	п.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 16 December 2004.		
	☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u	·	•	s
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	\square accepted or b) \square objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing.sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	- 1	•	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority documents.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	<u>_</u> .		
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	4) 🔲 Interview St	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on November 26, 2004 and December 16, 2004. Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 2 and 3 have been cancelled. Claims 4-21 are newly added claim, rendering claims 1 and 4-21 pending.

Applicant's comments pertaining to the Conwell et al reference applied in the previous Office Action are acknowledged however deemed not persuasive. Applicant is directed to MPEP § 2136.04 (Different Inventive Entity; Meaning of "By Another"). In current situation, reference Conwell et al is prior art because the inventive entity is by "another" in view of CFR 1.48(a), as presented below. The claims are rejected for the reasons as follow.

Inventorship

In view of the papers filed November 26, 2004, it has been found that this nonprovisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by addition of Pixie A. Austin.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of Office records to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,732

Art Unit: 3743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-7, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Conwell et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,350,071). Conwell et al discloses in Figures 1 and 2 a UV

curing station comprising UV lamp (5) as means for curing UV curable ink on labels (4). Figure

1 illustrates the UV curing station is inside a printer housing (6). Figure 2 illustrates the UV

curing station is attached to the printer housing (6) and is outside the printer housing (6). With

regards to the UV curing station attaching to the label rewinder or to other structures as recited in

claims 4 and 5, it is a mere functional recitation and a mere statement of intended use. As shown

in Figures 1 and 2, the UV curing station is capable of being attached to structures as recited in

claims 4 and 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3743

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conwell et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,525) in view of Lincoln et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,525). Conwell et al discloses in Figures 1 and 2 a UV curing station comprising elements as recited in these claims but does not teach a reflector. Lincoln et al discloses in Figure 3a ultraviolet lamp (80) having a reflector (82). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to install reflector as taught by Lincoln et al in Conwell et al's UV lamps as such would direct UV radiation onto labels (4).

Claims 8-11 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conwell et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,525) in view of Ylitalo et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,543,890). Conwell et al discloses in Figures 1 and 2 a UV curing station comprising elements as recited in these claims but does not teach at least one filter. Ylitato et al discloses in Figures 1-8 an apparatus for radiation curing of ink used in inkjet printing. Figures 1 and 2 illustrates a curing device (16) having at least one or more of source UV radiation including UV lamps (17). Figure 2 further illustrates the UV lamp (17) having a shield (20). Ylitato et al discloses the possibility to modify the UV lamp by adding an infrared filter (column 2 lines 45-51). Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify the Conwell et al's UV lamp (5) to include a filter as such would reduce the amount of heat reaching the labels.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Camtu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0537. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-F) 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 703-308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Camtu Nguyen March 10, 2005

Supervisors #aten; Examiner